

# Strong interactions at all density

**Vikram Soni**

National Physical Laboratory, K.S. Krishnan Marg, New Delhi 110012, India  
vsoni@del3.vsnl.net.in

## **Abstract**

We show using Mean Field Theory that the phase diagram of QCD at finite density ( $T = 0$ ) is such that chiral symmetry remains spontaneously broken at all density!

Talk given at the Recontres Vietnam, Hanoi, August 2004

# 1 Introduction

We all know that nuclear matter and hadronic interactions at low energy are characterized by spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (SSB), with the pion as the pseudo goldstone boson. Further, the nature of the higher density quark matter state is not clear - for example, is it in a spontaneous chiral symmetry broken state?

Can we find a theory that can describe both these domains? We present, here, an Effective Chiral Intermediate Lagrangian, L, that has quarks, gluons and a chiral multiplet of  $[\vec{\pi}, \sigma]$  that flavor couples only to the quarks [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], which does so.

To begin with let us consider the two main features of the strong interactions at low energy. These are i) that quarks are confined as hadrons and ii) chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken (SSB) with the pion as an approximate Goldstone boson. There is no specific reason that these two phenomena should occur at an identical temperature scale, though QCD lattice simulations show that for  $SU_2(L) \times SU_2(R)$  they are close. The problem in giving an unequivocal answer to this question is that we are yet to find a solution to the non perturbative aspects of QCD. Also, if the chiral symmetry restoration (energy/temperature) scale was lower than the confinement scale we would expect hadrons to show parity doubling below the confinement scale but above the chiral SSB scale. This is not seen in finite temperature lattice simulations.

Actually, QCD can have multiple scales [5]. Apart from, a confinement scale, a chiral symmetry restoration scale we also have a compositeness scale for the pion. The above considerations suggest these scales are respectively in ascending order in energy (temperature).

Let us consider the interacting fermi liquid of nucleons- nuclear matter. As the baryon density is raised beyond overlap, we expect a transition to quark matter. An interesting question arises: Is the quark matter in a chiral SSB state with constituent quarks or is it, as usually assumed, in a chirally restored state with current quarks? As we will see the, L, given below, answers to this question [6, 7].

On the other hand there is some evidence for this intermediate Chiral Lagrangian that has simultaneously no confinement but chiral SSB. Such an effective Lagrangian has quarks, gluons and a chiral multiplet of  $[\vec{\pi}, \sigma]$  that flavor couples only to the quarks.

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} - \sum \bar{\psi} (D + g_y(\sigma + i\gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \vec{\pi})) \psi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \vec{\pi})^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2)^2 + \text{const} \quad (1)$$

The masses of the scalar (PS) and fermions follow on the minimization of the potentials above. This minimization yields

$$\mu^2 = -\lambda^2 < \sigma >^2 \quad (2)$$

It follows that

$$m_\sigma^2 = 2\lambda^2 < \sigma >^2 \quad (3)$$

This theory is an extension of QCD by additionally coupling the quarks to a chiral multiplet,  $(\vec{\pi} \text{ and } \sigma)$  [1, 2, 3, 4].

This Lagrangian has produced some interesting physics at the mean field level [4, 8]

(1) It provides a quark soliton model for the nucleon in which the nucleon is realized as a soliton with quarks being bound in a skyrmion configuration for the chiral field EVs [1, 4, 8].

(i) Such a model gives a natural explanation for the 'Proton spin puzzle'. This is because the quarks in the background fields are in a spin, 'isospin' singlet state in which the quark spin operator averages to zero. On the collective quantization of this soliton to give states of good spin and isospin the quark spin operator acquires a small non zero contribution [9].

(ii) Such a Lagrangian also seems to naturally produce the Gottfried sum rule [10].

(iii) Such a nucleon can also yield from first principles (but with some drastic QCD evolution), structure functions for the nucleon which is close to the experimental ones [11].

(iv) In a finite temperature field theory such an effective Lagrangian also yields screening masses that match with those of a finite temperature QCD simulation with dynamical quarks [12]. This work also does not show any parity doubling for the hadronic states.

(v) This Lagrangian also gives a consistent equation of state for strongly interacting matter at 'all' density [4, 7, 13].

This,  $L$ , has a single dimensional parameter,  $f_\pi$ , that is the pion decay constant, and three couplings,  $g_3$ , the QCD coupling,  $g_y$ , the yukawa coupling between quarks and mesons, that will be determined from the nucleon mass and the meson-meson coupling,  $\lambda$ , which, for this model, can be determined from meson meson scattering [14]. No further phenomenological input will be used. As it stands, there is no confinement in this model, but may be dynamically generated as in QCD.

Since this is posited as an effective  $L$ , we should have an approximate idea of its range of validity. We find somewhat in analogy with the top quark ( large yukawa coupling ) composite higgs picture, that we can get a compositeness scale for the scalars in this model by using RNG evolution. We find that the WF renormalisation for the scalars is inversely proportional to the running Yukawa coupling and thus naively vanishes when the yukawa coupling blows up. For our theory such a ballpark scale falls between 700 -800 Mev [15].

An independent and quite general approach in setting a limit to the range of validity of non asymptotically free ( eg. yukawa theories ) is the vacuum instability to small length scale fluctuations ( or large momenta in quantum loop corrections ) that plagues these theories, discovered and analytically proved by one of us [16]. The scale at which this occurs is of the same order as above. This is not very surprising since it is connected to non AF character of the yukawa coupling [16, 17]. This underscores the impossibility of doing loop calculations, unless we introduce a cut off, even though our  $L$ , is renormalizable!

Given these facts we shall use this theory at the Mean Field level to look at different phases of this field theory.

For this  $L$ , the nucleon is a colour singlet bound state of three valence quarks in a skyrme background, in a linear sigma model. Fixing the nucleon mass to be the observed one fixes the yukawa coupling. A generally accepted value for  $g_y = 5.4$  [1]. This is when the  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  fields are varied independently, without making any ansatz, and the quark soliton so obtained is projected to give a nucleon with good spin and isospin.

We now have a determination for all parameters of our  $L$ :  $f_\pi, g_3$  and  $g_y$ , leaving only one parameter,  $\lambda$  to be set. As we shall show this can be determined from low energy meson-meson scattering data.

Recently, Schechter et al [14] made a fit to scalar scattering data to see how it may be fitted with increasing  $\sqrt{s}$ , using chiral perturbation theory and several resonances. They further looked at this channel using just a linear sigma model. Their results indicate that

for  $\sqrt{s} < 800 \text{ Mev}$ , a reasonable fit to the data can be made using the linear sigma model with a sigma mass above but close to 800 Mev. This sets the value of  $\lambda$ .

This completes the determination of all the parameters of our, L, which is able to describe both nucleon and quark phases of dense matter.

## 2 Phases at finite density

We now move to the main theme of this work, which is to look at ground state of strongly interacting matter at finite density. In our model all the phases considered are characterised simply by different patterns of chiral spontaneous symmetry breaking ( chiral SSB ). As we shall see i) the nucleonic low density phase is characterised by the pattern of SSB in skyrmions [13] ii) the quark matter Lee Wick phase has space uniform SSB, with only the sigma field expectation value. As density goes up the chiral symmetry restoration transition occurs and we get chirally restored quark matter ( CRQM ) [7, 13]. and iii) the pion condensed phase ( PC ) is characterised by a stationary wave, with a space dependent periodic variation in the sigma and neutral pion field expectation values. In contrast to case ii), in this case chiral symmetry restoration does not occur. This state is always lower in energy than the CRQM above. For this we refer the reader to [6, 7]

## 3 The phase diagram and the equation of state

The starting point for the phase diagram of QCD at finite density is as such: At very low density we know that there is chiral SSB, with the pion as the Goldstone boson - this breaks chiral symmetry spontaneously, leaving colour symmetry unbroken. At very high density we have a colour SC pairing instability for the quarks and of the many pairings investigated the CFL ( colour flavour locked ) pairing is favoured - this spontaneously breaks colour and chiral symmetry. In between these limits the issue of the ground state is open.

We approach this problem, from the low density end, by the effective lagrangian, L, that can access both, nuclear and quark matter states, with or without chiral SSB. We have argued that this, L, has validity upto energy scales of about 800 Mev. The advantage of this, L, is that it has only 3 couplings and no other free parameters. These can all be determined from experiment. However, this, L, has only colour singlet scalar/pseudoscalar meson fields, but no colour scalar fields. It is thus good for investigating chiral condensates but not colour condensates.

If we start at the high density end, as done by the several authors who practice diquark colour superconductivity, one knows the ground state at very high density, when asymptotically free QCD makes calculation reliable. However, for intermediate density phenomenological four fermion interactions have to be introduced. This is equivalent to introducing a given value for the gap parameter,  $\Delta$  [18]. Also, another phenomenological input is the bag parameter, B. Uncertainty attends these parameters. It is not clear how this ground state transits into the low density chiral SSB state.

We start with saturation nuclear matter at nuclear density and this persists till the nuclear matter gets squeezed into quark matter at moderately higher density, when a pion condensed state takes over [3]. In all these phases, as we have found, chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken - though, the patterns of symmetry breaking keep changing with

baryon density. Since we have not investigated all other possible condensates, we cannot vouch for our neutral pion condensate being the best ground state.

It is, however, to be noted from the lecture notes of Baym [19] the neutral pion condensate is preferred over the charged pion condensate for charge neutral nuclear matter, in the non relativistic limit ( particularly if we put ,  $g_A = 1$ ,). We find that this is also the case for charge neutral quark matter as well. It is worth pointing out that all these states , that have lower energy than the chirally restored CRQM state, are chiral symmetry broken states.

At even higher density the most likely state is a diquark condensate - a colour flavour locked ( CFL ) [18] state - which can persist till arbitrarily high density. Such a ground state spontaneously breaks both chiral and colour symmetry. Diquark condensates are unlikely at moderately high density as they depend on the quark density of states and so the pion condensate is most likely at such densities.

There is an important issue that arises here and that is the comparison between the diquark condensate state and the pion condensed state. In this case the starting point is a chiral symmetric four fermion interaction which can accommodate both chiral ( quark-antiquark colour singlet ) condensates and diquark condensates. Such a comparison has already been done by Sadzikowski[20] in the context of a NJL chiral symmetric model, for the case of 2 flavours -  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ . What is done is at the level of mean field theory. The NJL model has four fermion interactions in terms of the quark bilinears corresponding to the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  field quantum numbers, with a common dimensional coupling ,  $G$ . If we are interested in a ground state carrying sigma and/or pion condensates we can replace these quark bilinears by the corresponding  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  EV's in the MFT. This yields the ground state energy of the space uniform SSB and the PC states. Alternatively, this NJL can be mapped to our linear sigma model and the ground state thereof, which has been considered in [6].

To get to the diquark condensate state we have to Fierz transform this NJL chiral ,  $L$ , and look for its projection into the diquark condensate channel and follow the same procedure of MFT. This projection gives the diquark condensate,  $L$ , used by [18, 20], with a four fermion coupling,  $G' = G/4$ [20].

i) Working with simultaneous MF condensates, corresponding to space uniform chiral SSB ( which generates a spontaneous mass for the quarks (no PC) ) and diquark SC ( which gives rise to colour SC ), they [20] find that for the above value of the two  $G$ 's, that follow from the Fierz projection, for the two condensates, the chiral condensate is always the preferred state up till the limit of validity of this model.

However, they find that with arbitrary values of  $G$ 's for the two condensates, it is possible to have a phase transition from a space uniform chiral SSB to a diquark condensate at some large density. In particular for ,  $G' = G$ , they find that that from a pure chiral SSB state there is a continuous phase transition to a mixed chiral SSB and diquark condensate state at a quark chemical potential of about 0.33 GeV. This is followed by a first order phase transition at slightly higher  $\mu$ , to also a dominantly diquark condensate mixed state.

This state then evolves to an almost entirely diquark condensate.

ii) Till now we have not considered the PC state. The PC state always has lower energy ( free energy ) than the uniform chiral SSB state. It would then be reasonable to expect that the PC state and not the uniform state would be the preferred state of chiral SSB. Since chiral SSB persists till much higher density (  $\mu$  ) in this state we expect that with the inclusion of this state the transition to the colour SC state will be pushed to higher density (  $\mu$  ).

A following work by the same author addresses this matter by considering simultaneous

MF condensates of the space uniform chiral SSB, PC, and the the diquark condensate [21]. It is found that the first order transition does shift to higher density ( $\mu$ ). This is for the case  $G' = G/2$ . Furthermore, in this case it seems after the first order transition occurs, the chiral SSB order parameter,  $M$ , goes to zero, indicating that this state has no chiral SSB but only colour SSB. Of course, this is so as these works deal with the 2 flavour case - where the colour diquark condensate is a chiral singlet.

Realistically, we must consider 3 flavours, since the quark chemical potential is much greater than the strange quark mass. In this case we are very likely to have the CFL state as the lowest energy state. The criterion for this is given in [22] and is,  $\Delta > \frac{m_s^2}{4\mu}$ , which is easily satisfied. Furthermore, in this case the diquark condensate is a colour, flavour condensate which has both chiral SSB and colour SSB, albeit in a manner different to the the PC.

The deciding question is then what effective,  $L$ , is to be used. There is no derivation of the exact effective,  $L$ , from QCD at arbitrary scales. There are many four fermion type ( or higher order ) interactions derived or rather motivated from various points of view, eg instantons, gluon exchange etc. However, there is no unique,  $L$ , that is derived as such. It is therefore necessary to have an argument to decide this issue.

Since the NJL chiral,  $L$ , identifies with our linear sigma model, and this latter model is what we have used as a valid model till centre of mass energies/scales of less than 800 MeV, the right procedure is to take this model to describe physics upto this scale. In this case, as we have argued, the PC is the preferred ground state, till the scale of validity of our effective  $L$  ( this is for  $G' = G/4$  or even if we relax this to larger  $G'$  ) or we have a 3 flavour PC followed by a CFL state, with increasing density.

With increasing baryon density we then find the following hierarchy. At nuclear density and above we have nuclear matter with chiral SSB, followed by the pion condensed quark matter, again with chiral SSB, albeit with a different realization and finally a transition to the diquark CFL state which also has chiral SSB ( and colour SSB ), with yet another realization. A point to note is that CRQM or free fermi seas are unstable. To one's surprise at zero temperature, at any finite density chiral symmetry is never restored!

## References

1. (a) S. Kahana, G. Ripka and V. Soni , Nucl. Phys. A415, 351 (1984),  
(b) M. C. Birse and M.K. Banerjee, Phys. Lett. 134B, 284, (1984).
2. A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys.B234, 203 (1984) .
3. V. Soni, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, Vol.11, 331 (1996),
4. V. Soni, 'The nucleon and strongly interacting matter',Invited talk at DAE Symposium in Nuclear Physics Bombay , Dec 1992 and references therein.
5. V Soni , M.S. Modgil and D. Sahdev, Phys. Lett. B 552, 165 ( 2003 ).
6. V Soni and D. Bhattacharya, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074001 ( 2004 ).
7. M. Kutschera, W. Broniowski and A. Kotlorz,Nucl. Phys. A516 566 (1990).
8. M. C. Birse, Soliton Models in Nuclear Physics, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, Vol. 25 , (1991) 1 ,and references therein.
9. see for example, R.Johnson, N. W. Park, J. Schechter and V. Soni and H. Weigel, Phys. Rev. D42 , 2998 (1990), J. Stern and G. Clement, Mod Phys. Lett, A3, 1657 (1988) .
10. see for example, J. Stern and G. Clement , Phys. Lett. B 264, 426 (1991), E.J. Eichten,I Hinchcliffe and C.Quigg ,Fermilab-Pub 91/272 - T.
11. D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, P. Pobylitsa, M Polyakov and C. Weiss , Nucl. Phys. B480, 341 (1996), Phys. Rev. D56, 4069 (1997).
12. A. Gocksch , Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 , 1701 (1991).
13. V. Soni, Phys. Lett. 152B ,231 (1985).
14. A. Abdel-Rehim, D. Black, A.H. Fairbroz, S. Nazri and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev D68, 013008 ( 2003 ).
15. V. Soni ( unpublished )
16. V. Soni, Phys. Lett. B183,91 ( 1987 ) , Phys. Lett. B195, 569 ( 1987 ).
17. see also related work, R. Perry, Phys. Lett B199, 489 ( 1987), G. Ripka and S. Kahana, Phys. Rev. D 36 1233 ( 1987 ), W. Boniowski and M. Kutschera , Phys.lett. B 234 449 (1990) .
18. M. Alford , K. Rajgopal and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett B 422, 247 (1998) ,Nucl. Phys. B537, 443 (1999).
19. G. Baym in NORDITA lectures, 'Neutron Stars and the Properties of Matter at high density', (1977). see also ,G.Baym and S. Chin, Phys. Lett. 62B, 241 (1976)
20. M. Sadzikowski, Mod. Phys. Lett. A Vol.16, No. 17 1129 (2001)
21. M. Sadzikowski, hep-ph/ 0210065v2 (2003)
22. M. Alford , K. Rajgopal,S. Reddy and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev D 64, 074017 ( 2001 )